

## PUBLIC AI-VISIBILITY TEARDOWN

# Is Forgeline CI the answer AI gives? We measured.

A public teardown of a developer tool's share of model — what ChatGPT, Perplexity and Gemini actually say when buyers ask for the best CI/CD tool, and where the gap is.



**Illustrative sample — not a real client.** Forgeline CI is fictional; figures are representative, method-noted medians.



**Measured by Clear Cited** — our data, with method & date




**Client-reported** — numbers you provide




**Awaiting data** — needs your input or access

# 4th of 4, at 17% share of model



Method: adaptive per-engine sampling (at least 10 runs per engine, never below a 5-run floor) across ChatGPT, Perplexity and Gemini for "best CI/CD tool for a small platform team", personalization off, with a metric-matched 95% CI (presence Wilson / share-of-model bootstrap). Measured June 2026.

# You vs the competitors AI names



● NOT CITED

# Named once, cited never

ChatGPT

● NOT CITED

› best CI/CD tool for a small platform team

For a small platform team, strong options include Pistons, Relay Deploy, and Hangar — each with managed runners and good GitHub integration.

[pistons.dev](#) [g2.com](#) [reddit.com/r/devops](#)

0 citations to Forgeline in 10/10 ChatGPT runs — absent from the consideration set, cited to competitors' comparison pages.

## Three moves that close the gap

### Highest leverage

- Publish an answer-first, schema-marked benchmark page
- Claim & complete G2 + Capterra entities
- Earn 3 comparison-listicle mentions

### Why it works

- Gives engines an owned source to cite
- Puts you in the grids they pull from
- Closes the off-domain citation gap (8 of 9 today)

## Want this for your tool — free?

A free teardown runs your real buyer prompts across three engines (ChatGPT, Perplexity, Gemini) and emails you the snapshot.

[Get a free teardown](#)

Clear Cited · Be the answer AI gives. · Illustrative sample — not a real client.